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Atherosclerosis remains the leading cause of mortality in the United States. The systemic effects of atherosclerosis have been well described in vascular surgery literature. The impacts of peripheral vascular disease on quality of life and overall mortality are a marker of the epidemic. The definition of critical limb ischemia in peripheral vascular disease was first published in 1982, as an ankle pressure <40mmHg in rest pain patients and ankle pressure < 60mmHg in the presence of tissue necrosis.\(^1,2\) Of note, the patients specifically excluded from this definition were diabetics, as they carry a mixed picture of neuropathy, ischemia and sepsis.\(^2\) At present, the five-year mortality in patients with critical limb ischemia is 50-60 percent, with stroke and coronary events accounting for greater than 70 percent of the deaths.\(^1,4-9\)

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, there are 30.3 million Americans, or 9.4 percent of the U.S. population, living with diabetes, and another 84.1 million Americans with prediabetes.\(^3\) In addition, there were 108,000 hospital discharges for lower extremity amputation and diabetes in 2014.\(^3\)

The traditional classification scheme for the management and guidance of vascular patients has been the Rutherford classification and TASC II guidelines. The Rutherford classifications for chronic limb ischemia have six categories, and are oriented around presenting symptoms such as claudication and tissue loss. The TASC II guidelines are centered around management of disease based on anatomic length and location of vascular atherosclerotic disease. Neither incorporates the diabetic patient with active infection, atherosclerotic disease and neuropathy. In 2014, the new Society of Vascular Surgery (SVS) Lower Extremity Threatened Limb Classification based on the Wound, Ischemia and foot Infection (WIfI) risk stratification was published and launched by the SVS Lower Extremity Guidelines Committee to tackle this widening issue within the vascular population. In regard to diabetic foot ulcers (DFU), the three main causes are neuropathic, ischemic and neuroischemic. Traditionally, DFUs have been neuropathic; however, with the increasing incidence of both diabetes and peripheral vascular disease in the U.S., the incidence of DFUs due to neuroischemia is over 50 percent.\(^1\)

As a system of community hospitals in West Central Florida, BayCare is committed to being a leader in providing superior heart care. The BayCare Cardiovascular and Surgical Outcomes book for 2017 is available, detailing our volume and outcomes data as well as highlighting some of our world-class programs including our heart failure clinics, fast-growing structural heart and arrhythmia programs, and the many clinical research trials available across the system. Download a copy of our 2017 outcomes book today.
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The Wound, Ischemia and foot Infection (WIfI) classification is similar to the TNM cancer staging system. The patient should be staged at initial presentation, and after debridement and/or revascularization. The wound category is based on size, severity, depth of wound and complexity, and ability to heal the wound. The wounds are graded from 0-3. The ischemia category is also graded 0-3, and is based primarily on ankle-brachial index (ABI), ankle systolic pressure, toe pressure (TP) and transcutaneous oxygenation (TC-O2). An ABI of >0.8 is graded a 0, as these patients likely don’t require revascularization to heal their wounds and are thus at a lower risk of amputation.\(^1\) A grade 3 would be a patient with an ABI of <0.4, in which revascularization is required to achieve wound healing and has a high risk of amputation. An ABI between 0.4–0.8 will be graded either a 1 or 2, and is based on TCO2 or TPs, as the
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ABIs will be unreliable in diabetic patients. In addition, especially in the diabetic patient, this intermediate perfusion phase is extremely important to identify proper perfusion to achieve limb salvage. The foot infection category incorporates the Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) classification. It’s well known that the risk of amputation directly correlates with the increasing infection severity, and that in the diabetic population, infection is the major factor that prompts hospitalization, leads to amputation, and when combined with PVD, markedly increases the risk of amputation\(^1,10\) (see Table I).

The utility of the SVS WIfI classification is to be employed in patients as a baseline grading scheme to determine risk of amputation at one year. Each category has four grades (0–3), and when combined, will fall onto the chart to determine a very low risk, low risk, moderate risk, high risk, or unsalvageable risk for amputation estimate. In addition, the SVS WIfI classification scheme can guide the need for revascularization based on ischemia score once the infection is controlled (see Table II).

The patient should be restaged using the SVS WIfI once the infection is controlled and revascularization is performed. The group from the University of Alabama recently published their data on restaging at the one-month and six-month mark. Their findings support the time intervals of one-month and six-month restaging to identify high-risk patients for amputation based on need for intervention to improve ischemia. They also noted that the one-month WIfI wound and foot infection grades did correlate with amputation-free survival.\(^{11}\)

In conclusion, the new SVS WIfI classification provides a staging system for the increasing diabetic population with PVD to guide amputation risk and need for revascularization. The WIfI classification incorporates the severity of foot infection and complexity of the wound along with the ischemia component to the limb. New studies demonstrate that one-month and six-monthrestaging identifies high-risk patients that may be worth re-intervention to avoid limb loss.\(^{11}\)

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wound grade</th>
<th>Ischemia grade</th>
<th>Infection grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 No wound</td>
<td>TP &gt;60 mm Hg</td>
<td>0 No symptoms or signs of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Small, shallow ulcer</td>
<td>ABI &gt;20 mm Hg</td>
<td>Local infection involving only skin and the subcutaneous tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Deeper ulcer with exposed bone, joint, or tendon, not involving tissue heal</td>
<td>ABI &lt;20 mm Hg</td>
<td>Local infection involving skin and subcutaneous tissues (e.g., abscess, osteomyelitis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 Extensive, deep ulcer involving foot/foot and/or calcaneal involvement | ABI <0.5 | Local infection involving skin and subcutaneous tissues (

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wound grade</th>
<th>Ischemia grade</th>
<th>Infection grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 = low risk</td>
<td>0 = no need for revascularization based on ischemia score once the infection is controlled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 = moderate risk</td>
<td>1 = may need for revascularization based on ischemia score once the infection is controlled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 = high risk</td>
<td>2 = definitely need for revascularization based on ischemia score once the infection is controlled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = unsalvageable risk</td>
<td>3 = inoperable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical applications of limb salvage based on WIfI. The patient’s grade from each category is plotted on one of the appropriate charts. The risk of amputation based on the combination of the three category grades. The need for revascularization if the foot infection is controlled. **Taken from Mills et al.\(^1\)**
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